Original Article ——

Factors Related to Tooth Loss in Later Years during a Long-term Maintenance Program at Dental Clinics

Yasuyuki Kawamura¹⁾, Fumiaki Shinsho²⁾ and Hideki Fukuda³⁾

Abstract: The present study was conducted in order to identify risk-factors for tooth loss among patients in a long-term maintenance program at dental clinics, as well as factors specifically related to the elderly. Subjects were selected from patients who had been in a maintenance program more than 15 years and who made their last dental visit between July and October 2004. The patients attended one of two private dental clinics where one of the authors has been working in Osaka. Annual tooth loss for each subject was calculated from the difference of tooth loss between the first visit and the last visit.

The average length of maintenance, between the base line and the last visit, was 27.5 years for those younger than 39 years, and 25.8 years for those older than 40 years at the base line. The annual average tooth loss was 0.040 for the younger group and 0.115 for the older group, not including wisdom teeth. Multiple regression analysis showed that individuals aged 40 and older with more non-vital teeth and more teeth with periodontal pocket depth at the first visit, as well as retaining a smoking habit and suffering diabetes mellitus during the maintenance period had a higher rate of annual tooth loss, whereas individuals with fewer teeth and more teeth with periodontal pockets showed co-relation with higher rate of annual tooth loss for the younger age group.

The results suggested that not only periodontal disease but also pulpectomy, as well as smoking and diabetes mellitus, could be the risk-factors of tooth loss in the later years even if a long-term maintenance program could be provided after 40 years of age.

Key words: long-term maintenance, tooth loss, number of present teeth, number of non-vital teeth

Introduction

To achieve the target of 'Healthy Japan 21', adults are encouraged to have regular dental visits for professional cleaning, and to have regular dental health check-ups. This target of keeping 20 or more teeth at the age of 80 years old, expressed as "Eighty-Twenty (80/20)", was based on a concept of oral health as a crucial component of general health¹⁾. Keeping natural teeth has been shown to be an important factor for health in later years. Manly and Braley²⁾ tried to evaluate the effect of

tooth loss and showed that masticatory performance and efficiency decreased with loss of teeth. Agerberg and Carlsson³⁾ reported a definite relationship between chewing ability and general health status in randomly selected persons aged 15~74 years. Through a community based survey, Shinsho¹⁾ found that the percentage of elderly people who were active enough to go out alone correlated with number of natural teeth. Prolongation of tooth life also has been thought to enhance the quality of life⁴⁾.

Tooth loss is not a natural result of age, and there is abundant evidence of preventing tooth loss through professional care. Axelsson and Lindhe⁵⁾ also observed that "a preventive program which

¹⁾ Kawamura Dental Clinics, Osaka

²⁾Nankoh Community Dental Health Centre, Sayo

³⁾Division of Oral Health, Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences

stimulates individuals to adopt proper oral hygiene habits may resolve gingivitis and prevent progression of periodontal disease and caries". Prevention of caries and periodontal disease are the critical factors in preventing tooth loss in adults^{$6\sim8$}). Prevention of those diseases can be carried out by community education programs associated with regular check-ups and adequate plaque control programs^{9,10)}. Many studies also showed the effect of regular, professional prevention programs for reducing the incidence of tooth loss. Tooth loss was more likely to occur among individuals who received no maintenance or discontinued receiving maintenance¹¹⁾. Among professional care, regular dental visit is thought to be one of the most important procedures for keeping oral health. Sheiham et al. 12) observed that a regular dental attendance pattern was negatively associated with the number of missing teeth, and positively associated with the number of filled teeth. Shinsho et al. 13) and Shinsho¹⁴⁾ found that, in adults aged 40 and over, the mean number of teeth lost per year was lower for those who had been receiving prophylactic treatment and oral health instructions through regular dental visits than for those who had not done so.

Thus, providing maintenance care at dental clinics is well recognized as a procedure for preventing tooth loss and it is expected to assure better quality of life in the later years. However, even during the period of maintenance care, tooth loss can be observed, although there is little evidence of risk factors of tooth loss for the elderly under long-term maintenance care. Risk factors of tooth loss during maintenance care of elderly patients may be different from the factors for general physical health, and may be different also between younger generation and elder generation.

Furthermore, as diabetes mellitus and smoking are well known to have strong correlations with periodontal disease^{$15\sim18$}, these diseases can be risk factors of tooth loss. The present study was

conducted in order to identify risk factors for tooth loss among the patients attending a long-term maintenance program at dental clinics, with a special emphasis on factors related to the elderly.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects of this research were those who had been using a continuing maintenance program provided at one of two dental clinics where one of the authors has been working in Osaka. In the clinics, continuing maintenance care focusing on prevention of diseases of the entire oral cavity has been provided over 35 years. In these clinics, after a series of initial treatment of oral diseases, regular dental visits for maintenance care have been recommended for most of the patients, to provide prophylactic treatment and guidance for preventive self care. Once every 1~6 months, patients with periodontal disease received oral hygiene prophylaxis including scaling and root planing if needed.

Subjects were selected from patients who had been receiving the maintenance care for more than 15 years and made the last dental visit during the 4 months between July and October in 2004 at either of two dental clinics. The number of those who were registered to use regular maintenance care at these clinics was 2,265 in July, 2004. In 1989, the number of those who registered for maintenance care was 1,786. Among these 984 were registered both in 1989 and 2004, and also continued regular dental visits at least once every six months for 15 years. Those who made irregular dental visit were excluded, as were those who dropped out within 15 years including those who died or moved away. The total number of subjects who met the above-mentioned conditions making dental visit between July and October in 2004 was 371 (130 men and 241 females). Thus the 371 subjects of this research, represent 38 percent of those registered both in 1989 and 2004, and also who continued regular dental visits at least once every six months between

Table 1 Number of people registered for maintenance care at the dental clinics of this study and the subject of this study

	n
Number of people registered for maintenance care in 1989	1,786
Number of people registered for maintenance care in 2004	2,265
Number of those registered both in 1989 and 2004, who continued regular dental visit at least once every six months between 1989~2004	984
Number of total subjects who made a dental visit between July and October 2004	371

Table 2 Number of subjects by length of maintenance care

	Age at the last visit (years)							
		35~49	50~59	60~69	70~79	80~	Total	
	15~20	7	10	12	11	1	41	
Length of maintenance care (years)	21~25	9	20	40	28	10	107	
	26~30	6	19	36	28	13	102	
	31~37	2	13	31	55	20	121	
Total		24	62	119	122	44	371	
Average years of maintenance	e	22.4	25.4	26.1	28.0	28.4	26.6	

1989~2004 (Table 1).

The subjects' average age at the start of the maintenance care was 41.5 years (from 14 years to 67 years old). At the last visit their average age was 67.5 years (from 36 years to 93 years old) (Table 2).

The average length of maintenance care for the subjects was 25.2 years (ranging from 10.4 to 36.7 years) (Table 2).

At the base line before starting the maintenance program, the average number of teeth of the 371 subjects was 24.9. Those subjects with complete dentures were excluded. The annual tooth loss for each subject was calculated from the difference of the number of teeth between the base line and the final visit. At the final visit, all the patients were

asked to complete a questionnaire regarding general health, including their medical history of diabetes mellitus, circulatory disease and disease of the digestive organs, and also about smoking.

The condition of each tooth was classified into healthy, filling, crown, non-vital from endodontics, and missing. The annual tooth loss was compared based on the following items: 1. age, 2. age at the base line, 3. number of present teeth at the base line, 4. number of teeth treated at the base line, 5. presence of periodontal pocket depth over 4 mm at the base line. The periodontal pocket depth was measured using a periodontal probe at 6-point for each tooth.

According to the response to the questionnaire at the final visit, the annual tooth loss was also

		Age at last visit (years)							
		35~49	50~59	60~69	70~79	80~	Total		
Male	present teeth	27.9	26.7	23.9	22.2	17.1	23.3		
Male	n	9	21	40	47	13	130		
Female	present teeth	28.1	26.3	24.0	22.1	19.3	23.5		
	n	15	41	79	75	31	241		
Total	present teeth	28.0	26.5	24.0	22.1	18.6	23.4		

Table 3 Mean number of present teeth by age and sex

compared based on the following items: 6. the presence of systemic diseases, such as diabetes mellitus, circulatory disease and disease of the digestive organs, and 7. smoking.

It has been pointed out that "periodontal disease (23.4% overall) was the most frequent indication for extraction and became the commonest cause of tooth extraction in patients aged 40 years and more", while in 20~39 year olds, "dental caries (56.4% overall) was the main reason for tooth extraction" 19). And a national survey in Japan reported that over 80 percent of over 40 year olds had periodontitis²⁰⁾. So, in this study, subjects were divided into two groups by age of the baseline, under 40 year-olds over 40 year-olds. The significance of differences was analyzed by Student's ttest or analysis of variance (ANOVA). Stepwise multiple regression analysis was also performed, using as the independent variables, factors which showed a significant relationship with the annual tooth loss.

Results

The mean number of present teeth of the subjects at the last visit was 23.4 as a whole (Table 3).

It was 25.4 for the younger age group, and 21.6 for the older age group at the last visit (Table 4). Annual tooth loss on average was 0.086 for male and 0.075 for female, not including wisdom teeth. It was 0.040 for the younger age group, and 0.115 for the older age group (Table 4). Comparing situa-

tions at the base line among the two age groups, the number of present teeth and number of filled teeth were significantly larger in the younger group. The number of non-vital teeth and the proportion of those with periodontal pocket depth over 4 mm were larger in the older group. Comparing the situation at the last visit between the two age groups, these were significantly more subjects with circulatory disease and above average annual tooth loss in the older group, both male and female (Table 4).

Comparing the annual tooth loss during the maintenance period by general and oral health situation for both the age groups, it was significantly smaller in those subjects with more present teeth at the base line, for both age groups, and was significantly smaller in those subjects with more filled teeth at the base line, for the younger group. The annual tooth loss were also significantly bigger in those subjects with more non-vital teeth at the base line, and in those who had periodontal pocket depth over 4 mm, at the base line, for both age groups. The annual tooth loss was also significantly bigger among smokers and those with diabetes mellitus at the last visit for the older age group (Table 5).

Multiple regression analysis showed that the number of present teeth and presence of periodontal pocket depth over 4 mm at the base line were significantly related to the annual tooth loss during the maintenance period, for the younger group. It

Table 4 Compasison of oral health situation or the number of subjects by health status between two groups divided by age at the base line

			Age at the	e base line		
			Less than 40 years old mean = 32.6	40 years old and more mean = 49.7	Total	p-value
	Male		62	68	130	
	Female		117	124	241	
	Number of present teeth (mean)		26.0	23.9	24.9	0.000
	Number of filled teeth (mean)		6.5	3.8	5.1	0.020
Situation at the base line	Number of vital teeth with crown (mean)		2.6	3.7	3.2	0.108
	Number of non-vital teeth (mean)		3.0	4.5	3.8	0.001
	Presence of periodontal	no	171	142	313	0.000
	pocket depth over 4 mm	yes	8	50	58	0.000
	Age (mean)		60.0	74.7	67.5	0.001
	Mean length of maintenance care (year)		27.5	25.8	26.6	0.933
	Number of present teeth (mean)		25.4	21.6	23.4	0.000
	Smoking	no	169	175	344	0.238
	Smoking	yes	10	17	27	0.236
Situation at	Diabetes Mellitus	no	171	179	350	0.376
the last visit	Diabetes Meintus	yes	8	13	21	0.370
	Circulatory disease	no	152	126	278	0.000
	circulatory disease	yes	27	66	93	0.000
	Disease of intestinal	no	163	164	327	0.109
	organ	yes	16	28	44	0.109
,		male*	0.040	0.127	0.086	0.000
	Annual tooth loss	female*	0.040	0.108	0.075	0.000
	_	total	0.040	0.115	0.079	

^{*}Difference of annual tooth loss between male and female was not significant.

also showed that the number of non-vital teeth, the presence of periodontal pocket depth over 4 mm at the base line, as well as smoking and diabetes mellitus at the last visit, were significantly related to the annual tooth loss during the maintenance

period, for the older group (Table 6).

Discussion

The subjects of the present research were not chosen by random sampling from the general

Table 5 Annual tooth loss during maintenance period by health status for two age groups

			Age at the base line						
			Less than 40 yeas old			40 years old and more			
	d		n	annual tooth loss	p-value	n	annual tooth loss	p-valu	
		15~20	16	0.032		25	0.126	0.704	
	Period of maintenance	21~25	44	0.027	0.050	63	0.126		
	1 criod of maintenance	26~30	50	0.036	0.359	52	0.095		
General		31~36	69	0.053		52	0.116		
situation		35~49	24	0.004		-	_		
		50~59	60	0.020		2	0.100		
	Age at evaluation	60~69	69	0.065	0.001	50	0.081	0.133	
		70~79	26	0.051		96	0.116		
		80~	_	_		44	0.152		
		0~20	9	0.189	0.000	30	0.187	0.000	
	Number of present teeth	21~25	40	0.043		79	0.142		
		26~28	130	0.029		83	0.063		
	Number of filled teeth	0~1	23	0.086	0.029	55	0.152	0.131	
		2~4	34	0.034		70	0.102		
		5~7	55	0.033		44	0.110		
		8+	67	0.032		23	0.077		
Oral health	Number of vital teeth with crown	0	57	0.025	0.200	34	0.085	0.485	
situation		1~2	42	0.038		46	0.106		
at the base		3~4	39	0.043		48	0.125		
ine		5+	41	0.059		64	0.129		
		0~1	77	0.018		57	0.101		
	Number of non-vital teeth	2~3	42	0.057	0.005	47	0.083	0.032	
		$4 \sim 7$	41	0.048	0.005	54	0.119		
		8+	19	0.076		34	0.176		
	Presence of periodontal	no	171	0.035	0.000	142	0.080	0.000	
	pocket depth over 4 mm	yes	8	0.140	0.000	50	0.213		
	Cm alsin a	no	169	0.040	0.055	175	0.106	0.000	
	Smoking	yes	10	0.042	0.926	17	0.208	0.006	
History	D' la Maria	no	171	0.038		179	0.107	0.004	
situation	Diabetes Mellitus	yes	8	0.081	0.133	13	0.228		
at the last	Circulatory disease	no	152	0.040		126	0.115		
visit		yes	27	0.039	0.939	66	0.114	0.976	
-	Disease of intestinal	no	163	0.040		164	0.115		
	= June of Intermital	110	100	0.010	0.986	101	0.110	0.925	

Table 6 Multiple regression equation for annual teeth loss and beta for each independent

		Coefficient value	Beta	t-value	Significance
	Age at the last visit	0.0013	0.1538	1.5805	0.1159
	Mean years of maintenance	0.0006	0.0392	0.4262	0.6705
	Number of present teeth*	-0.0078	-0.2957	-3.3513	0.0010
	Number of filled teeth*	-0.0005	-0.0252	-0.3350	0.7380
	Number of vital teeth with crown	* -0.0026	-0.0894	-1.1228	0.2631
Less than	Number of non-vital teeth*	0.0023	0.0966	1.2714	0.2053
40 years	Presence of periodontal pocket depth over 4 mm*	0.0655	0.1722	2.3757	0.0186
Olu	Smoking	-0.0099	-0.0289	-0.4175	0.6769
	Diabetes Mellitus	0.0291	0.0766	1.1051	0.2707
	Constant	0.1457			
	Multiple R	0.4773			
	Adjusted R ²	0.2278			
	Age at the last visit	0.0024	0.1128	1.4928	0.1372
	Mean year of maintenance	-0.0013	-0.0479	-0.6558	0.5128
	Number of present teeth*	-0.0042	-0.1198	-1.6838	0.0939
	Number of filled teeth*	0.0008	0.0184	0.2615	0.7940
	Number of vital teeth with crown	* 0.0027	0.0624	0.9120	0.3630
40 years	Number of non-vital teeth*	0.0056	0.1685	2.5581	0.0113
old and more	Presence of periodontal pocket depth over 4 mm*	0.1184	0.3562	5.5123	0.0000
	Smoking	0.0823	0.1601	2.5111	0.0129
	Diabetes Mellitus	0.1006	0.1732	2.7582	0.0064
	Constant	-0.0109		a a	
	Multiple R	0.5426			
	Adjusted R ²	0.2944			

^{*}at the base line

population, but were a cohort who have received long term maintenance care at dental clinics. Therefore, they might have been motivated for oral cleaning self care by their comparatively high health care awareness. However, results obtained from a highly motivated population may show fundamental factors relevant to the general population. Moreover, the results during the maintenance period may show practitioners the important factors in the prevention of tooth loss of the patients under their intensive care.

During maintenance care, some have dropped out from the regular plan, and so those who did not participate in the maintenance care and those who dropped out of the maintenance care were excluded from our research sample. Those who moved away, retired or died before the evaluation, were included in the dropping out group.

From the result of multiple regression analysis, the presence of periodontal pocket depth over 4 mm at the base line seemed to increase annual tooth loss during the maintenance period, for both the older and younger age groups. The number of teeth present at the baseline was related to tooth loss only for the younger age group. Whereas the number of non-vital teeth, smoking habit and diabetes mellitus was significant related to the annual tooth loss for the older group, there was no such relationship for

the younger group. These results show, that even in the younger generation as well as in older generation, the more teeth with periodontal pockets they retain, the more likely they are to lose teeth even under professional maintenance care. It was also indicated that the more non-vital teeth they retain, the more teeth they may lose in later years. For those aged 40 and more, other factors which affect general health also related to tooth loss. That suggests that general health guidance on smoking and prevention of diabetes mellitus might be more important during maintenance care by dental practitioners for elderly people.

Hirschfeld and Wasserman²¹⁾, McFall²²⁾, Lindhe and Nyman²³⁾, Goldman et al.²⁴⁾, Nabers et al.²⁵⁾, Wood et al.²⁶⁾, and Axelsson et al.²⁷⁾ presented the results of surveys on tooth mortality in relation to maintenance care through regular dental visits. Papapanou et al.28), Niessen and Weyant29), and Chauncey et al. 30) have reported on tooth mortality in the absence of maintenance care. According to these surveys, the mean number of teeth lost annually under maintenance care ranged from 0.013^{27} to 0.17^{24} , while the range was 0.32^{28} to 0.47²⁹⁾ in the absence of maintenance or preventive treatment. Axelsson et al.31) also monitored the incidence of tooth loss of the patients who received periodic preventive maintenance at a private office during a 30-year period. According to their study, calculated annual loss of teeth among individuals aged 36 to 50 years at their first visit was 0.02, while it was 0.06 among those aged 51 to 65 years. In our study, during maintenance care for 31 years, the annual loss of those starting maintenance care at the age of less than 40 years was 0.053, while it was 0.116 among those starting maintenance care over the age of 40.

Furthermore, other research clarified that the combination of early diagnosis and treatment with a proper preventive program, performed by a dentist or a dental hygienist (including plaque disclosure, professional teeth cleaning, and the use of a fluoride-

continuing dentifrice/paste), effectively reduced the rate of tooth loss³²⁾, and multiple sources have indicated that long-term periodic dental maintenance is important for the prevention of dental disease as well as tooth loss^{33~37)}.

The implication that tooth loss more likely occurred with non-vital teeth, suggests a practical guideline of keeping teeth out of pulpectomy for preventing tooth loss in the later years. Axelsson et al.31) stated that the main reason for tooth loss under continuing professional care was root fracture. It can be suggested that many fractured nonvital teeth tended to be extracted during maintenance care, although the present study did not make any detailed analysis of the cause of tooth loss. The two clinics in the present study do not provide removal of dental pulp expedientially and perform it only when necessary, as for crown restoration. It can be proposed that periodic maintenance program both facilitating discovery of caries at an early stage and also preventing dental pulp infection, may reduce the overall rate of tooth loss.

We would suggest that dental practitioners should be aware of the relationship between general health and oral health in order to keep teeth of the elderly longer through maintenance care.

References

- 1) Shinsho, F.: New strategy for better geriatric oral health in Japan: 80/20 Movement and Healthy Japan 21, Int. Dent. J., **51**: 200~206, 2001.
- 2) Manly, R. S. and Braley, L. C.: Masticatory performance and efficiency, J. Dent. Res., **20**: 448~462, 1950.
- 3) Agerberg, G. and Carlsson, G. E.: Chewing ability in relation to dental and general health, Acta Odontol. Scand., **39**: 147~153, 1981.
- 4) Reisine, S.T., Fetig, J., Weber, J. and Ledeer, S.: Impact of dental conditions on patients' quality of life, Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol., 17: 7 ∼10, 1989.
- 5) Axelsson, P. and Lindhe, J.: Effect of controlled oral hygiene procedures on caries and periodontal disease in adults, J. Clin. Periodontol., 8: 239~248, 1981.
- 6) Cahen, P. M., Frank, R. M. and Turlot, J. C.: A survey of the reasons for dental extraction in France,

- J. Dent. Res., 64: 1087~1093, 1985.
- 7) Kay, E. J. and Blinkhorn, A. S.: The reasons underlying the extraction of teeth in Scotland, Br. Dent. J., **160**: 287~290, 1980.
- 8) Morita, M., Kimura, T., Kanegae, M., Ishikawa, A. and Watanabe, T.: Reasons for extraction of permanent teeth in Japan, Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol., **22**: 303~306, 1994.
- 9) Shinsho, F., Tatara, K., Takatorige, T., Nakanishi, N. and Kuroda, K.: The effect of professional dental health care in the prevention of periodontal diseases in adults, Jpn. J. Public Health, **37**: 551~558, 1990. (in Japanese)
- 10) Shinsho, F. and Fukuda, H.: Annual tooth loss of participants for regular oral health check-ups in a rural community in Japan, Dent. Jpn., **39**: 93~95, 2003
- 11) Wilson, T.G., Glover, M.E., Malik, A.K., Schoen, J.A. and Dorsett, D.: Tooth loss in maintenance patients in a private periodontal practice, J. Periodontol., **58**: 231~235, 1987.
- 12) Sheiham, A., Maizels, J. and Maizels, A.: New composite indicators of dental health, Community Dent. Health, **4**: 407~414, 1987.
- 13) Shinsho, F., Suzuki, N. and Ikeda, M.: An effect of dental health instructions for preventing periodontal diseases of the adults and the elderly in dental clinics-effects on tooth loss, Jpn. J. Gerodontol., 3: 15~19, 1989. (in Japanese)
- 14) Shinsho, F.: An evaluation of preventive dentistry in the clinic for prolongation of teeth life. In: Proceedings of the 3rd World Congress on Preventive Dentistry, ed. Morioka, T., p. 264~266. Fukuoka, Committee for 3rd WCPD, 1991.
- 15) Alvesalo, I., Reisine, S., Hay, J. and Bailit, H.L.: Effects of fluoride and regular dental care on personal dental expenditures of young adults in Finland, Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol., 10: 15~22, 1982.
- 16) Osterberg, T. and Mellstrom, D.: Tobacco smoking: a major risk factor for loss of teeth in three 70-year-old cohorts, Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol, 14: 367~370, 1986.
- 17) Ragnarsson, E., Eliasson, S.T. and Olafsson, S.H.: Tobacco smoking, a factor in tooth loss in Reykjavik, Iceland, Scand. J. Dent. Res., 100: 322~326, 1992.
- 18) Jette, A.M., Feldman, H.A. and Tennstedt, S.L.: Tobacco use: a modifiable risk factor for dental disease among elderly. Am. J. Public Health, 83: 1271~1276, 1993.
- Quteish, T.: Periodontal reasons for tooth extraction in an adult population in Jordan, J. Oral Rehabil.,
 30: 110~112, 2003.
- 20) The Statistical Analysis Committee on the Survey of Dental Diseases (2007): Comprehensive Guide to the Survey of Dental Diseases, Oral Health Association of Japan, Tokyo, 2005.

- 21) Hirschfeld, L. and Wasserman, B.: A long-term survey of tooth loss in 600 treated periodontal patients, J. Periodontol., 49: 225~237, 1976.
- 22) McFall, W.T. Jr.: Tooth loss in 100 treated patients with periodontal disease: a long-term study, J. Periodontol., 53: 539~549, 1982.
- 23) Lindhe, J. and Nyman, S.: Long-term maintenance of patients treated for advanced periodontal disease, J. Clin. Periodontol., 11: 504~514, 1984.
- 24) Goldman, M.J., Ross, I.F. and Goteiner, D.: Effect of periodontal therapy on patients maintained for 15 years or longer: a retrospective study, J. Periodontol., 57: 347~353, 1986.
- 25) Nabers, C.L., Stalker, W.H., Esparze, D., Naylor, B. and Canales, S. ∶ Tooth loss in 1535 treated periodontal patients, J. Periodontol., **59** ∶ 297~300, 1988.
- 26) Wood, W.R., Greco, G.W. and McFall, W.T. Jr.: Tooth loss in patients with moderate periodontitis after treatment and long-term maintenance care, J. Periodontol., **60**: 516∼520, 1989.
- 27) Axelsson, P., Lindhe, J. and Nystrome, B.: On the prevention of caries and periodontal disease: results of a 15-year longitudinal study in adults, J. Clin. Periodontol., **18**: 182~189, 1991.
- 28) Papapanou, P.N., Wennstrom, J.L. and Grondahal, K. A.: 10-year retrospective study of periodontal disease progression, J. Clin. Periodontol., 6: 403~411, 1989.
- 29) Niessen, L.C. and Weyant, R.J.: Causes of tooth loss in a veteran population, J. Public Health Dent., **49**: 19~23, 1989.
- 30) Chauncey, H.H., Glass, R.L. and Alman, J.E.: Dental caries: Principal cause of tooth extraction in a sample of US male adults, Caries Res., 23: 200~205, 1989.
- 31) Axelsson, P., Nystrom, B. and Lindhe, J.: The long-term effect of a plaque control program on tooth mortality, caries and periodontal disease in adults. Results after 30 years of maintenance, J. Clin. Periodontol., 31: 749~757, 2004.
- 32) Fardal, O., Johannessen, A.C. and Linden, G.J.: Tooth loss during maintenance following periodontal treatment in a periodontal practice in Norway, J. Clin. Periodontol., 31: 550~555, 2004.
- 33) Kawamura, Y., Shinsho, F., Fukuda, H., : Factors related to tooth loss during a long-term maintenance program at dental surgeries, J. Dent. Health, 57: 159~165, 2007. (in Japanese)
- 34) Konig, J., Plagmann, H.C., Ruhling, A. and Kocher, T.: Tooth loss and pocket probing depths in compliant periodontally treated patients: a retrospective analysis, J. Clin. Periodontol., 29:1092~1100, 2002.
- 35) Checohi, L., Monteveochi, M., Gatto, M. R. and Trombeli, L.: Retrospective study of tooth loss in 92 treated periodontal patients, J. Clin. Periodontol., 29:

 $651 \sim 656$. 2002.

36) McCaul, L.K., Jenkins, W.M. and Kay, E.J.: The reasons for extraction of permanent teeth in Scotland: a 15-year follow-up study, Br. Dent. J., 190: 658~662, 2001.

37) Tonetti, M. S., Steffen, P., Muller-Campanile, V., Sevan, J. and Lang, N.P.: Initial extraction and tooth loss during supportive care in a periodontal population seeking comprehensive care, J. Clin. Periodontol., **27**: 824~831, 2000.

歯科診療所における長期メインテナンス中の中高年期の 歯の喪失に関連する要因

川村 泰行1),新庄 文明2),福田 英輝3)

抄録:本研究の目的は、歯科診療所において長期間のメインテナンスケアーを利用している、中高年期の受診者の歯の喪失に関連する危険因子を明らかにすることである。

大阪市内の2つの歯科医院のいずれかにおいて、15年間以上メインテナンスを受け、かつ2004年7月~10月の4カ月間に来院した者のすべてを対象とした。対象者の1年あたり喪失歯数は、初期治療終了後の1回目のメインテナンス受診開始時(ベースライン時)と、上記期間最終の来院時の歯の本数の差により算出した。

最終来院時の対象者平均年齢は 67.5 歳で, 対象者 371 人の平均メインテナンス期間は, ベースライン時年齢が 40 歳未満は 27.5 年間, 40 歳以上は 25.8 年間であった。

第三大臼歯を除く,年平均喪失歯数は40歳未満が0.040本,40歳以上が0.115本であった。重回帰分析の結果,ベースライン時年齢が40歳未満は,現在歯数が少ないことと4mm以上の歯周ポケットの有無が年喪失歯数との関連が示された。一方,ベースライン時年齢が40歳以上は、失活歯数、4mm以上の歯周ポケットの有無、および糖尿病の有無と喫煙習慣が年喪失歯数との関連が示された。本研究の結果、長期メインテナンスを継続的に実施する場合でも、40歳以降の受診者については歯周病だけではなく、喫煙習慣および糖尿病などの健康管理に配慮するとともに、生涯を通じて歯髄の失活を防ぐことが中高年期における歯の喪失の予防に重要であることを示唆している。

キーワード:長期メインテナンス, 平均喪失歯数, 現在歯数, 失活歯数

¹⁾川村歯科医院

²⁾佐用町南光歯科保健センター

³⁾長崎大学大学院口腔保健学